

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,043	12/12/2003	Susumu Takagi	542-005.16	2492	
4955	7590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS &			MOTTOLA, STEVEN J		
ADOLPHSO BRADFORI	ON, LLP O GREEN BUILDING 5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
755 MAIN S	STREET, P O BOX 224		2817		
MONROE,	CT 06468		DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H·b			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,043	TAKAGI, SUSUMU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven J. Mottola	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clarifler SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= : :					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) Ali b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Be * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/735,043

Art Unit: 2817

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Macedo in view of Brozovich et al.

Refer to fig. 1 of Macedo An RF amplifier 12 is bypassed when a 'low gain' signal is applied which may be read as varying the gain. The amplifier is bypassed by switch 16 which may be read as the bypass means claimed and when bypassed (and thus when the gain is varied) the input and output impedance is adjusted by match adjusting circuits 18,19 which may be read as the input and output impedance correcting means claimed. The difference between Macedo and the claim is tha Macedo uses a bipolar transistor 12 for the amplifying transistor rather than the claimed FET. However, Brozovich et al. teach in fig. 3 for instance the use of an FET for the amplifying transistor in a bypassable RF amplifier. It would have been obvious to utilize such an FET as the amplifier transistor of Macedo because this would facilitate easier circuit integration since all transistors could be of the same type.

Claims 2-3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The dual gate arrangement of these claims is not shown in the prior art of record in the context claimed.

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 4-5 are allowed. The bias FET connected to the amplifying FET as claimed in claim 4 is not shown in the prior art of record in the context claimed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the bypassed FET amplifiers with input and output matching circuits shown by Abe et al. and Kabbaz et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Mottola whose telephone number is 571-272-1766. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5 and alternate Fridays from 8 to 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven J. Monoia
Primary Examiner